Pupil premium strategy statement: Christ the King Catholic Primary School

1. Summary information											
School	Christ the K	rist the King Catholic Primary School, Bournemouth									
Academic Year 2016 / 17		Total PP budget	£88,900.00	Date of most recent PP Review	Dec 2016						
Total number of pupils	312	Number of pupils eligible for PP	72	Date for next internal review of this strategy	Feb 2017						

2. Current attainment		
	Pupils eligible for PP (your school)	Pupils not eligible for PP (national average)
% achieving in reading, writing and maths	40%	48% (53%)
% making progress in reading	Above Government Floor Expectations (-5) -2.8	Awaiting National Data
% making progress in writing	Above Government Floor Expectations (-7) -0.7 In line with National	Awaiting National Data
% making progress in maths	Above Government Floor Expectations (-5) -3.2	Awaiting National Data

3. Ba	3. Barriers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP, including high ability)										
In-sch	In-school barriers (issues to be addressed in school, such as poor oral language skills)										
A.	Home learning take up of pupils eligible for PP is lower than other groups. This slows progress in basic skills (reading and number facts).										
B.	High ability pupils who are eligible for PP are making less progress than other high ability pupils across Key Stage 2. This prevents sustained high achievement in Key Stage 2.										
C.	Behaviour issues for a small group of pupils (PP) are having detrimental effect on their academic progress and that of their peers.										
Externa	al barriers (issues which also require action outside school, such as low attendance rates)										
D.	Low attendance										

4. D	esired outcomes	
	Desired outcomes and how they will be measured	Success criteria
A.	All pupils read daily and practise number facts daily.	Pupils eligible for PP read daily and progress is accelerated through the reading scheme – evidenced by formative and summative assessment. All children making good progress to reach their targets.
В.	Higher rates of progress across KS2 for high attaining pupils eligible for PP.	Pupils eligible for PP identified as high achievers make as much progress as 'other' pupils identified as high achievers, across Key Stage 2 in maths, reading and writing. Measured in Y3, 4, 5 and 6 by teacher assessments and successful moderation practices established across the Catholic and Anglican Schools Trust (CAST).
C.	Behavioural issues of few pupils addressed.	Fewer behaviour incidents recorded for these pupils and they consequently have improved progress.
D.	Increased attendance rates for pupils eligible for PP.	Reduce the number of persistent absentees among pupils eligible for PP. Overall PP attendance improves to 96%.

5. Planned expenditure

Academic year

2016/17

The three headings below enable schools to demonstrate how they are using the pupil premium to improve classroom pedagogy, provide targeted support and support whole school strategies.

i. Quality of teaching for all

Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
A. Improved basic skills for all pupils	Staff coaching in KS2 in quality first teaching of basic skills. Best practice visits to outstanding school KS1 and KS2. Staff training on high quality feedback. Purchase training in Bug Club (Sept 2016) Reading training in skills Basics (Jan 2017)	We want to invest some of the PP in longer term change which will help all pupils. Many different evidence sources, e.g. EEF Toolkit suggest high quality feedback is an effective way to improve attainment, and it is suitable as an approach that we can embed across the school. Ref: Ofsted's 2014 report on pupil premium NfER report on supporting the attainment of disadvantaged pupils.	Coaching programme selected using evidence of effectiveness. (Outstanding Ofsted and sustaining performance in top 5-10% nationally) Use INSET days to deliver training. Class drop ins follow up targets set by coaches weekly. Fortnightly book scrutiny in teams. Planning ensures provision meets the needs of all abilities. Lessons from training embedded in school policy.	Deputy HT	Jan 2017
B. Improved progress for high attaining pupils	CPD on providing stretch for high attaining pupils.	High ability pupils eligible for PP are making less progress than other higher attaining pupils across Key Stage 2 in RWM. We want to ensure that PP pupils can achieve high attainment as well as simply 'meeting expected standards'. We want to train a small number of relevant teachers in practices to provide stretch and encouragement for these pupils.	Course selected using evidence of effectiveness. Use INSET days to deliver training. Peer observation of attendees' classes after the course, to embed learning (no assessment).	Deputy HT	Jan 2017
	1	•	Total but	dgeted cost	£40,000

Desired outcome			How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
A. Improved basic skills for PP pupils B. Improved progress for high attaining pupils	Pupil conferencing in Year 2 to KS2.	Pupil conferencing ensures that the pupil is more involved in their learning and understands their next steps. It ensures targets are matched to the child's learning needs. Evidence in school (Year 6 progress in writing 2016 is -0.7 which is in line with the national) shows that it has a positive impact on accelerating pupil progress.(80% PP achieved ARE compared to 67% 'other' pupils in summer 2016). This is +1% above the national %PP reaching ARE (79%).	Organise timetable to ensure staff delivering provision have sufficient preparation and delivery time. Consult local school which has used the programme to identify any potential barriers to good implementation.	Deputy HT	Jan 2017
B. Improved progress for high attaining pupils	Weekly small group sessions in maths for high- attaining pupils with experienced teacher, in addition to standard lessons. Release for class teachers for pupil conferencing weekly.	Il group maths for high- bils with teacher, in tandard class teachers We want to provide extra support to maintain high attainment. Small group interventions with highly qualified staff have been shown to be effective, as discussed in reliable evidence sources such as Visible Learning by John Hattie and the EEF Toolkit. We want to combine this additional provision with Extra teaching time and preparation time paid for out of PP budget, no sought on a voluntary basis. Impact overseen by maths coordinator. Teaching assistant (TA) CPD for		Deputy HT	Jan 2017
			Total bu	dgeted cost	£29,000
iii. Other approach	es				
Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?		
C. Problem behaviour in (S2 addressed behaviour intervention for identified students. Use PSW to engage with parents before intervention begins. Employ Play Therapist to train staff and work directly		The EEF Toolkit suggests that targeted interventions matched to specific students with particular needs or behavioural issues can be effective, especially for older pupils	Ensure identification of target pupils is fair, transparent and properly recorded. Linked to behaviour policy. Monitor behaviour but also monitor whether improvements in behaviour translate into improved attainment. Observation of lunchtime nurture	SENCO	Feb 2017

	with pupils 1:1 and in small groups. Develop restorative approaches and focus on positive behaviours. Lunchtime nurture support targets specific support. Train 2 more ELSA staff.		group/ playtimes and class support by PSW. Regular supervision meetings for inclusion team led by SENCo.						
D. Increased attendance rates	Part time family support worker employed to monitor pupils and follow up quickly on absences. First day response provision. Full time pastoral support worker follows up PA absences.	We can't improve attainment for children if they aren't actually attending school. NfER briefing for school leaders identifies addressing attendance as a key step.	Pastoral support worker and office manager brief family support worker about existing absence issues. Family support worker, PSW, head, office manager, etc. will collaborate to ensure new provision and standard school processes work smoothly together.	Headteacher	Feb 2017				
Total budgeted cost									

6. Review of expe		l	1	T						
Previous Academic	: Year	2015 / 16	Total PP Budget	£85,800	Number of p	oupils eligible for PP	66 pup	oils / 341 NOR		
i. Quality of teacl	hing for all									
Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach		stimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include pact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate. Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)							
To raise standards in reading, writing and maths for PP pupils.	Increased staffing increases capacity to target barriers to learning including one to one inclusion TAs, nurture staff, pastoral staff and intervention teachers.	broadly in lin is generally in lin is generally in lin is generally in lin is generally in lin in lin is generally in lin in lin lin lin lin lin lin lin l	L 13.1 15.7 +2.8 13.3 16.1 +2.8 L 13.4 16.1 +2.7 13.7 16.1 +2.6 L 13.2 15.9 +2.9 13.7 16.3 +2.7 In the with non PP pupils. PP attains line with PP performance not be used by the performance of the perfo	nat PP pupils inment at endationally. pported the period of the period of the endional with the endional with the endion of the	or of each phase progress of the cell-being, thus English skills red attainment of who delivered can be skill researched to the control of the cell research for the cell resear	Triangulation of attendance evid between headteacher, office ma and family support worker. Track attendance half termly agaimpact of interventions. Funding will be re-invested to supupil conferencing and intervent pupils to diminish the gap in progbetween PP pupils and 'other' published and between PP pupils and between PP pupi	nager ainst apport ion of gress	£70,000		
ii. Targeted suppo	ort	I				1				
Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	Estimated	d impact: Did you meet the	success crite	eria?	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue wapproach)	vith this	Cost		

Staff are skilled in managing challenging behaviour and strategies for intervention.	Training for inclusion team and class teachers of most challenging pupils.	Inclusion staff and teachers have received specialist and emotional literacy which they have used to deve available for the pupils. Reduction in the number of fixed term exclusions from Improved recording of incidences to enable whole so incidences.	Training impacted positively on inclusion staff and class teachers directly involved in training. Continued funding will need to cascade the training to all teachers and TAs to sustain the approach into the next academic year.	£2,345	
Fine Motor and gross motor skills are targeted daily and improve written communication skills.	Morning Club	Daily targeted activities for gross and fine motor skill child's readiness to learn. Improvements in handwrit presentation of work. Evidenced by successful moni work.	ing and	Follow up activities in class and advice to parents.	£5,000
Resources support learning for PP pupils.	Nurture Group Resources Inclusion Resources Loan laptops for PP pupil Reading Resources 20% of Bug Club	Additional resources to enhance learning opportunitic challenge for more able pupils – IT resources, read emotional literacy and SEND resources, Laptops av Year 6 PP pupils. PP pupils have equal access to IT equipment to survia successful morning IT club. One pupil loaned a literacy including access to eReading resource 'Bug of the survival successful morning IT club.	Continue to fund resources for equal access to learning.	£2,144	
Behaviour of PP pupils is not a barrier to learning attitudes and progress.	Play therapy sessions for pupils and their parents. Nurture and lunchtime nurture. Supernumery SENCo	Inclusion staff have supported children with emotion issues that pose a barrier to learning and progress, nurture, afternoon nurture and ELSA relaxation club inclusion of children and maintaining a 'just right state'. Specialist outreach provision and training – support needs of children who are not accessing their learning therapy and Just Right State training for pupils and particular training for inclusion TAs and Nurture staff.	Review the number of play therapy sessions available to pupils already on programme to ensure more access to KS1 and lower KS2 pupils. This will ensure earlier preventative therapy.	£2,349	
iii. Other approache	es				
Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria?	Lessons learne (and whether you w	ed vill continue with this approach)	Cost
PP pupils have equal access to extra-curricular activities, school trips and have appropriate school uniform.	Subsidy for trips / music tuition / extra- curricular clubs / breakfast club and school uniform.	Equality of access to all school activities so that no child is disadvantaged financially. 4 pupils access after school activities (dance clubs for Year 1 pupil and guitar tuition), 10 access lunchtime clubs, 3 pupils access to breakfast club			£2,550
		100% PP pupils benefit from subsidy to school trips including residential trips in Year 5 & Year 6. Uniform Grant for parents in receipt of Free School Meals. 100% PP pupils take up of uniform			

grant.

Additional detail: 2016 Outcomes – data comparison and analysis. Diminishing the difference - pupils in receipt of PP funding

	2014				2015				2016			
	Maths, rea	ading and w	riting		Maths, rea	ading and w	riting		Maths, re	ading and w	riting	
All subjects combined	Cohort number	School	National	Difference	Cohort number	School	National	Difference	Cohort number	School % meeting ARE	National % meeting ARE (all pupils)	Difference
Pupil Premium	10	50%	67%	-17%	8	50%	70%	-20%	5	40%	60%	-20%
Other pupils	20	70%	83%	-13%	18	78%	85%	-7%	21	48%	60%	-12%
School Gap		-20%	-16%	-4		-28%	15%	-13		-8%	0	-8
Maths												
Pupil Premium	10	70%	78%	-18%	8	75%	80%	-5%	5	60%	75%	-15%
Other pupils	20	70%	90%	-20%	18	94%	90%	+4%	21	48%	75%	-27%
School Gap		0	-12%	-2		-19%	-10%	-9%		+12%	0	+12%
Reading												
Pupil Premium	10	60%	82%	-22%	8	88%	83%	+5%	5	40%	71%	-31%
Other pupils	20	85%	92%	-7%	18	94%	92%	+2%	21	57%	71%	-14%
School Gap		-25%	10%	-15%		-6%	-9%	+3		-17%	0	-17%
Writing												
Pupil Premium	10	60%	76%	-16%	8	75%	79%	-4%	5	80%	79%	+1%
Other pupils	20	75%	89%	-14%	18	83%	90%	-7%	21	67%	79%	-12%
School Gap		-15%	-13%	-2%		-8%	-11%	+3		+13%	0	+13%
SPG												

Pupil	10	40%	66%	-26%	8	50%	71%	-21%	5	60%	78%	-18%
Premium												
Other	20	75%	81%	-6%	18	61%	84%	-23%	21	62%	78%	-16%
pupils												
School		-35%	-15%	-20		-11%	-13%	+2		-2%	0	-2
Gap												

Analysis:

In school comparison

RWM: The gap between disadvantaged and other pupils has reduced.

The gap between disadvantaged and other pupils is significantly reduced. Disadvantaged pupils are outperforming other pupils in maths and writing. It is minimal in SPaG. There is a significant gap between groups in reading. This reduced in 2015 but the gap is still evident. The school has reviewed their provision for reading as a strategic response to this gap and interventions are in place to address it. The school is working hard to engage the parents of pupil premium pupils to support home learning, especially reading.

Comparison with national progress

The gap between the school and the national is closing in writing. In 2015 there were significant improvements in maths, reading and writing closing the gap between the school and the national. In reading in 2015 the school outperformed the national. The difference in SPaG is closing slowly.